

SORGHUM SOR SMARTFARM p. 12

SORGHUM SILAGE ECONOMICS p. 26

NATIONAL SORGHUM PRODUCERS SORGAGHUM PRODUCERS SORGAGHUM PRODUCERS

PARTICIPATION PARTICIPATICA PA



Also Inside SORGHUM CHECKOFF NEWSLETTER

OCK, TX 79403 NONPROFIT ORG. U.S. POSTAGE PAID Permit NO. 764 LUBBOCK, TX 79407

NATIONAL SORGHUM PRODUCERS, 4201 N INTERSTATE 27, LUBBOCK, TX 79403

Resolving to Eat Less Sugar in the New Year? Now More Powerful Antioxidants!

MULTI-BRAN FLAKES

with ONYX Sorghum

NYTimes Science Section 12/31/19

The Science Section of the NY Times says that eating high amounts of added sugar doubles your risk of heart disease. Added sugar has also been implicated in Type 2 diabetes, cancer, stroke and even Alzheimer's disease.

Grain Berry® Cereals Contain Less Sugar Plus Reduces The Rate Sugar Enters your Blood.



Other cereals contain refined grains and more sugar which can lead to fast sugar absorption in your blood and sugar highs. Millions of people are pre-diabetic and do not know it. Grain Berry can help. Our cereals have less sugar to start with and reduces sugar and carbohyhdrate metabolism. © Silver Palate Kitchens, Inc. 2020. All rights reserved.

Save as you Avoid Sugar Highs!

| I MANUFACTURER'S COUPON EXPIRES AP | RIL 30, 2020 | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| SAVE \$1.00 GRAIN BERRY® ANTIOXIDANT CEREAL. (12-16-oz. Box - any variety) Retailer: Silver Palate Kitchens, Inc. will redeem this coupon for face value plus .08¢ handling provided coupon is redeemed in accordance with our offer. Customer pays any sales tax. Void if reproduced or where prohibited by law. Invoices proving purchase of sufficient stock to cover redemptions must be shown on request. Cash value 1/100¢. One coupon per item purchased. Send to: 211 Knickerbocker Road,Cresskill, NJ 07626. | Grán Berry Frain Berry Contraction of the second of the se | by THREE GET ad this form, UPCs from any three (3) eipt and a SELF ADDRESSED STAMP pupon for a free box by mail. me dress | Grain Berry® Products with the sales ED ENVELOPE to the address below for |
| | Tel e-n | te Zip ephone nail | |
| 086341-050111 | No C | Cash value. One coupon per household. Mail to: | 211 Knickerbocker Road, Cresskill, NJ 07626. |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FEATURES

- 6 Sorghum Farmers & NRCS Partner for Soil Health NSP & NRCS to collect sustainability information.
- 10 **Carbon Reduction** *Capturing carbon is a complex* issue, but it starts with the soil.
- 16 2019 NSP Yield **Contest Results** See who came out on top in this year's yield contest.

DEPARTMENTS

1

1.

| 5 | From the CEO |
|----|-------------------|
| 8 | From the Field |
| 12 | Lab to Cab |
| 14 | Capitol Hill |
| 26 | Sorgonomics |
| 28 | Serving Up Sorghu |





ON THE COVER: Sorghum farmers are partnering with NRCS to track conservation and farming practices to help better understand sustainability in U.S. agriculture. This will not only benefit NRCS by giving them data, but it will also benefit sorghum farmers by helping them better understand their farm's productivy and sustainability.

SEE YOUR FUTURE CLEARLY



America's largest farmer-led, farmer-focused agricultural and educational experience.

Educational sessions that will change the way you think about your farm. Insights and inspiration from well-known speakers and ag thought leaders. **Huge trade show** with the latest innovation, technology and equipment. Networking with **thousands of high-caliber farmers**.



- Sign up for email updates at: CommodityClassic.com

Established in 1996. Commodity Classic is presented annually by these associations:











Winter 2020, Volume 14, Issue 1

NSP BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Daniel Atkisson CHAIRMAN, STOCKTON, KANSAS Kody Carson VICE CHAIRMAN, OLTON, TEXAS Don Bloss PAST CHAIRMAN, PAWNEE CITY, NE Danny Beyer **ODEM**, **TEXAS** Larry Dahlsten LINDSBORG, KANSAS Amy France MARIENTHAL, KANSAS Doug Keesling CHASE, KANSAS Craig Meeker WELLINGTON, KANSAS Bobby Nedbalek SINTON, TEXAS Larry Richardson VEGA, TEXAS Tom Willis LIBERAL, KANSAS

NSP STAFF

Tim Lust CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER Debra Lloyd OPERATIONS DIRECTOR Chris Cogburn SENIOR POLICY ADVISER Jennifer Blackburn EXTERNAL AFFAIRS DIRECTOR John Duff STRATEGIC BUSINESS DIRECTOR Jamaca Battin INDUSTRY RELATIONS DIRECTOR Shelee Padgett REGIONAL DIRECTOR Brent Crafton **REGIONAL DIRECTOR** Justin Weinheimer CROP IMPROVEMENT DIRECTOR lan Hoeffner INFORMATION DIRECTOR Larry Lambright FOUNDATION CHAIRMAN Amanda Flores ACCOUNTING ADMINISTRATOR Samantha Barnett COMMUNICATIONS INTERN Haleigh Erramouspe COMMUNICATIONS INTERN Sherrie Ray SORGHUM PAC INTERN

NATIONAL SORGHUM PRODUCERS

4201 North Interstate 27 Lubbock, Texas 79403 806-749-3478 (phone) 800-658-9808 (toll free) 806-749-9002 (fax) www.SorghumGrowers.com

Sorghum Grower is published by the National Sorghum Producers, an organization that represents U.S. sorghum producers and the sorghum industry. NSP is headquartered in Lubbock, Texas, in the heart of the U.S. Sorghum Belt. The organization serves as the voice of the sorghum industry coast to coast through legislative and regulatory representation and education. To subscribe, make address changes, or inquire about membership or advertising, please call 800-658-9808 or email our office at jennifer@sorghumgrowers.com. © Copyright 2019 National Sorghum Producers

From the CEO

New Decade, Same Commitment

ater. Yield. Energy. Sustainability. These buzzwords that drive our daily conversation and this issue of *Sorghum Grower* are, in many cases, the same themes that have defined the sorghum industry since I



arrived at the National Sorghum Producers 25 years ago. As we open a new year and a new decade, it is important to look forward as well as reflect on where we have been. In this issue, we are focusing on energy and sustainability. But looking back, these have always been significant issues.

I believe water is the single most important factor in the agriculture industry today. Themes of water are woven through our conversations about sustainability, environmental impact, bioenergy and agronomics. And so, it serves as an umbrella for all our other conversations in this issue.

Sorghum remains a star when it comes to water use (Page 26 focus on forages), and with the work of NSP, this fact continues to press forward into the national consciousness.

Sorghum also shines as a renewable energy crop. The ethanol industry has come of age over the past decade, and sorghum demand has benefitted from renewable energy policies both domestically and abroad. We have worked diligently to position sorghum with hopes that trading partners will favor sorghum for ethanol exports as well as for traditional uses. Countries around the world are adding sustainability criteria to their energy policy, and sorghum is ripe to compete in both new and established markets.

Domestically, California energy policy has moved the ethanol marketplace from a commodity business to a market pull business that incentivizes conservation and carbon savings practices. See page 10 for more on that. Sorghum is ideally positioned to be the crop of choice for sustainable energy production over the coming decade.

Glancing at the industry from the direction of Washington, D.C., we are expecting another significant research investment on sorghum sustainability from the Department of Energy in 2020 (Page 12).

Meanwhile back on the farm, we are encouraged by the release of a number of new hybrids from various companies that will boast higher yields and critical new and improved traits (Page 8). The pipeline is paying off.

At NSP, we are the voice of the sorghum industry. For six decades now, we have been proud to represent you to the world. The words we use may be different, but we remain driven by producer profitability and look forward with great expectations to another decade as your trusted partners.

Tim Lust

Tim Lust Chief Executive Officer

PARTNERING

SOIL HEALTH **SORGHUM FARMERS ARE COLLABORATING** WITH NRCS TO IMPROVE SUSTAINABILITY

By John Duff

FOR

or over a decade, U.S. agriculture has been strugthis is undoubtedly due in large part to the fact sorgling to understand sustainability. While many ghum farmers are some of the nation's most conserunanswered questions about how much consumvation conscious. For example, sorghum leads among ers are willing to pay for sustainability remain, crops in the area of conservation tillage adoption with markets like the one driven by the California Low 74 percent of sorghum acres annually being cared for Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) have helped define the using conservation tillage. Not only does conservation tillage mean less need for carbon-intensive fuel term. Fortunately, the conservation and stewardship usage, but it also means healthy soil, as well. What practices employed by thousands of sorghum farmers each year are rewarded in the carbon footprint models does healthy soil mean? As you might have guessed, it that underlie California's LCFS. This means adoption minimizes nitrogen requirements along with runoff, of these practices is rewarded through higher demand leaching and volatilization. In sorghum production, for grain in addition to positive environmental outsustainability breeds sustainability. comes for farms and rural communities. According to the agency's strategic plan, the

Given this connection between two of the most important policy areas for sorghum farmers (i.e., conservation and low carbon fuels), a partnership with the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) was a logical step. Early in 2019, National Sorghum Producers initiated conversations with the Kansas NRCS office, based in Salina, Kansas, and in late February applied for a Conservation Collaboration Grant. The agreement was made official early in the fall of 2019, and work on the project has already begun. The project will center on the development of a

software platform, the Kansas Conservation in Agriculture with Technology (KansCAT) platform, which will be used to track the type of conservation and sustainability information farmers and NRCS staff need for conservation planning and LCFS administrators need for verifying carbon footprints. Information on farm practices will be collected from at least 75,000 acres in areas of Kansas where farmers supply ethanol markets, and this information will be used to quantify carbon footprints for farmers, NRCS staff as well as LCFS administrators.

Why are conservation and stewardship practices rewarded in carbon footprint models? The answer lies in fertility-from how fertilizer is applied, to the timing of applications, to the specific fertilizers used. Not surprisingly, nitrogen is the most important fertilizer in this case as 80 percent of the footprint of farming is driven by applications of the element. This fact owes to the dual impact nitrogen has on the footprint: First, nitrogen fertilizer production is a very carbon intensive process, and a large portion of these carbon emissions must follow the grain through the supply chain. Second, after nitrogen fertilizer is applied, a portion of it leaves the field through runoff, leaching and volatilization, and all of these emissions must follow the grain through the supply chain, as well. What other practices are rewarded in both con-

servation programs and carbon footprint models? Fortunately, the list doesn't stop at fertility. Sorghum farmers have historically taken full advantage of the benefits offered through conservation programs, and

SORGHUM Grower Winter 2020

mission of NRCS is helping people help the land. Through hundreds of regional and local programs, NRCS promotes positive conservation and stewardship outcomes that will not only benefit individual farms, but whole rural communities, as well. This holistic approach made a partnership with the 80 year-old agency a perfect fit, as ethanol plants constantly strive to shrink their carbon footprint and provide environmental leadership to the rural communities they were founded to strengthen. These and other businesses across the sorghum supply chain are ready to provide the leverage NRCS needs to amplify the effects of their programs to ensure even more farmers and communities reap the benefits of conservation.

As always, it is important to remember rooting for lower carbon intensity and positive environmental outcomes is possible-even necessary-regardless of one's stance on climate change. Healthy soils are fundamental drivers of farm productivity, conservation and carbon footprint reduction, so there are opportunities for a true win-win-win. Add in the value of a smaller carbon footprint to ethanol plants selling fuel into markets like the one driven by California's LCFS, and the significant need to highlight what sorghum farmers are doing in this area becomes clear.

The 2018 Farm Bill included the words "soil health" 47 times while the 2012 bill included these words just once. Clearly, promoting conservation and stewardship is a key priority for policymakers at all levels of government. With the leadership already provided by sorghum farmers and only the bridge linking conservation programs and activities with sustainability-driven markets like the LCFS left to be built, now is the perfect time for this partnership. Armed with the KansCAT database and the knowledge gained through this partnership, sorghum farmers will be even better positioned to lead in the area of sustainability. NSP is still actively working to recruit farmers to participate in this partnership. For more information on participating in the development of KansCAT, please contact me at john@sorghumgrowers.com or 806-638-5334.≇

Hybrid **Grain Sorghu** Hybrid Hybrid **Grain Sorghum Grain Sorghu** KRIGENEE FOR SORGHUM HYBRIDS

By Brent Bean, Sorghum Checkoff

orghum growers can expect more choices in grain sorghum hybrids in 2020 than in previous years. There are many new grain sorghum hybrids that Whave been released by seed companies this year, and that is on top of several new hybrids released in 2019. These new hybrids are not limited to certain regions, but most growers around the U.S. will have access to a new hybrid or two to try on their farm. Generally, in the first year of release, seed supply will be limited, but growers should take the opportunity to plant a few acres of new hybrids to compare to what they have been planting.

Seed companies spend a lot of time evaluating sorghum hybrids before they are made commercially available and will not bring them to the market unless they have some significant advantage over other hybrids that they sell. Higher yield is always the goal and can be accomplished in basically two ways. The first is through parent selection and heterosis that results in better yield potential under optimum conditions. The second way is through better defensive traits. These defensive traits equip the hybrid to better withstand abiotic (nonliving) and biotic (living) stress.

Abiotic stress is typically caused by drought and high temperatures. Since sorghum tends to be grown in dry environments, sorghum breeders spend a large portion of their efforts in developing hybrids that can withstand periods of drought and still maintain yield potential. Often overlooked by growers is the importance of heat stress. Much of the Sorghum Belt has experienced

elevated temperatures the last few years, and this is not expected to change any time soon. More effort is going into breeding for heat stress than in the past.

Biotic stress is usually from insects, diseases or weeds. Since the infestation of U.S. sorghum with the sugarcane aphid in 2013, seed companies have worked to identify hybrids and parent lines with sugarcane aphid tolerance. Many of the new hybrids being released in 2020 have superior sugarcane aphid tolerance while maintaining or even increasing yield potential.

For those regions where diseases are an issue, better anthracnose resistance has been incorporated in some of the new hybrids.

Although we will not see any new hybrids with herbicide tolerance on the market in 2020, sorghum growers in 2021 and 2022 may very well have three different herbicide traits to choose. Hybrids are in the pipeline with ACCase, sulfonylurea and imidazolinone tolerance to aid in weed control. Field demonstrations are being planned with these technologies in 2020.

The rate at which new sorghum hybrids have come to the market has lagged behind many of the other crops. For example, it is not unusual for a corn grower to make a hybrid change every 3-4 years. One of the reasons for this is that corn and some of the other crops have greatly benefited from what is called double haploid technology.

This technology was discussed in detail in the Summer 2019 edition of the Sorghum Grower magazine and can be accessed at SorghumGrowers.com. Due to a



large investment of grower dollars, through the United By using this knowledge, along with computers and sta-Sorghum Checkoff Program, sorghum is well on its way tistics, breeders are able to make better predictions on the to having this technology. The result for growers will be outcome of crossing two parent lines. The term given to that breeders will soon be able to reduce the time in half this technology is called genome-wide association studies (GWAS). In other words, GWAS should take a lot of the that it takes to develop new hybrids. And just as importantly, breeders will be able to screen many more parent guess work out of developing new hybrids. This in turn should lead to not only better, but faster, development of line combinations for hybrids than they currently are sorghum hybrids. able to screen.

Research the last few years has brought a wealth of knowledge of the DNA of sorghum. The entire DNA of an organism is called its 'genome.' Breeders working where key genes are located in a sorghum plant's genome. coming years.

| NEW HYBRIDS AVAILABLE IN 2020 From National Sorghum Producer's Industry Partner | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| ALTA SEEDS | CORTEVA (PIONEER) | DEKALB | | | | |
| ADV G1329 (EARLY) | 85P75 (MEDIUM-FULL) | DKS27-80 (EARLY) | | | | |
| | 82P83 (FULL) | DKS29-95 (EARLY) | | | | |
| DYNA-GRO SEED | 83P11 (FULL) | DKS36-07 (MEDIUM-E | | | | |
| M54GR24 (VERY EARLY) | 85P81 (FULL) | DKS44-07 (MEDIUN | | | | |
| M57GC29 (EARLY) | | DKS45-60 (MEDIUN | | | | |
| M59GB94 (MEDIUM-EARLY) | S&W (SORGHUM PARTNERS) | | | | | |
| M62GB77 (MEDIUM) | SP 43M80 (MEDIUM-EARLY) | WARNER SEEDS | | | | |
| M71GR91 (FULL) | SP 74M21 (MEDIUM-FULL) | W 5916 (MEDIUM) | | | | |
| | | W 7506 (MEDIUM-FU | | | | |
| This is not an exhaustive list. | | | | | | |

Although all of the new technologies that are coming to sorghum are not going to be implemented over night, because of them, the future looks bright for new and with molecular biologists now have a much better map of better sorghum hybrids this growing season and in the





CARBON REDUCTION STARTS WITH THE SOIL

By Patrick Wade, Texas Sorghum Producers

n his 1928 paper titled "Soil Erosion: A National Menace," their inherent advantages incentivized in the LCFS econ-Hugh Hammond Bennett wrote of soil, "Conservation omy. Some minor carbon reductions come from saving on of this most fundamental and important of all resources irrigation-related energy costs and other similar efficiencies is seldom seriously considered by any one not directly or in sorghum operations. Largely, though, sorghum farmers' indirectly associated with the ownership or management of tendencies toward conservation are being recognized as a farm... Erosion is a very big problem. It is doubtful if the a significant advantage in the crop's carbon footprint. The majority of sorghum farmers in the United States practice farmer can handle it alone." This paper was instrumental in the founding of the Natural Resources Conservation Service conservation tillage, which significantly mitigates fertiliz-(NRCS) seven years later (then known as the Soil Conservaer-related carbon emissions. tion Service), of which Bennett was named the first chief. In All sorghum farmers, not just those who sell their crop turn, federal government resources were trained on studying into these ethanol markets, stand to gain from this. As more and mitigating the wastage of soil and moisture resources. of sorghum demand must still be met. Furthermore, it is Since Bennett's missive and the founding of the NRCS,

many of the same on-farm concerns for soil health have persisted. Erosion, nutrient loss, flooding, and more all threaten a farm's productivity. There has been, however, one particularly critical development. While Bennett lamented the general public's disinterest, 21st century farmers and ranchers are far from alone in caring about soil health. In fact, some of the wealthiest and most influential forces on the planet have become deeply involved in the relationship between soil health and environmental sustainability.

In this century, there is now a wide world to whom soil health is a key part of carbon footprints. The goal of reducing carbon footprints - the total carbon dioxide emissions associated with a product - has led to policymakers incentivizing billion-dollar markets that target every step of the supply chain including soil where crops are grown for biofuel production. For crops, carbon footprints are often captured from "cradle to farm gate." This method attempts to measure the step in the supply chain. Emissions generated by burning diesel in trucks, consuming energy for irrigation, manufacturing required pesticides and herbicides, and so on are all considered in a crop's carbon footprint. By measuring required inputs, carbon footprints allow for crops to differentiate themselves based on their relative efficiencies. It all comes back to the soil, though, as no farming

Agriculture is a global industry now, though, so these opportunities do not end at the American border. The European Union, of which the reemerging sorghum market of carbon footprint of a crop until it leaves the farm for the next Spain is a member, continues to upwardly revise biofuel and carbon emission reduction targets vis-à-vis their established carbon economy. Japan, a consistent sorghum market with whom the United States just entered a new trade agreement to further streamline agricultural trade with, has set ambitious carbon reduction targets for 2030, as well. China, both the 21st century's largest emitter of carbon dioxide and largest international market for sorghum, has responded activity generates more carbon emissions than fertilizing. to global scrutiny by redoubling pledges to reduce emissions. The Chinese market for sorghum originally opened in Specifically, nitrogen, both in its carbon-intensive manufacturing and the runoff, leaching, and volatilization processes large part due to their preferences for non-GMO grain. As following its application, contributes a majority of the carconsumers across the globe continue to mount pressure for bon emissions in agricultural production. increased sustainability, sorghum may again see an opportu-One of the most influential means of incentivizing nity to fill a unique niche.

carbon footprint reductions in sorghum and other crops is California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS). Adopted in 2009, the LCFS was a response to growing public desire in the state of California to reduce the carbon emissions related to transportation. The system develops its own carbon score based on the total emissions for an alternative fuel source, i.e. sorghum ethanol, throughout the entire pathway of production for the fuel. The greater the reduction in carbon emissions, the higher the price California pays for the fuel source.

Thanks to the hard work of National Sorghum Producers, sorghum farmers are beginning to see some of

grain moves into carbon markets for fuel, traditional sources important to note that participating in these markets should not be construed as political activism, but as economic practicality. The practices incentivized by LCFS are often fundamentally sound practices that beget healthier, more productive farms. As the sorghum industry continues to better understand the role we play in the carbon economy, the rising tide can lift all boats.

While it is the key player right now, California's LCFS is far from the only carbon economy on the horizon. The state of Oregon already has an existing LCFS program and the state of Washington is finalizing rules in 2020 to implement their own, potentially targeting twice the carbon reduction of California's LCFS. New York and Colorado are also exploring forming similarly ambitious carbon markets.

There is still so much to study about the relationship between agricultural production and carbon emissions. A strong need still exists for better quantitative, deliverable data about sorghum's carbon footprint, which makes the work NRCS and NSP are doing to develop a database all the more important (read more on Page 12). Policymakers across the world will continue to debate and tinker with their programs. One thing can be certain though; today, unlike in Hugh Hammond Bennett's time, the farmer is far from the only one who cares about their soil. ₽

Lab to Cab

SMARTS POSSIBLE FOR AGRICULTURE

By Dr. David Babson, Program Director, ARPA-E, DOE

here is an old proverb: you can't have your cake and eat it too. It means that one cannot have two incompatible things, but it is too often applied to things that are not necessarily incompatible, they are only perceived to be. Take, for example, how economic growth is often thought to be incompatible with environmental sustainability. The truth is that when it comes to addressing global resource limitations, environmental challenges, and economic growth, we are able to both have our cake and eat it too.

This is especially true for agriculture as we depend on this sector for food, and increasingly, for renewable bioenergy feedstocks and ecosystem services (e.g., soil carbon storage). What if farmers could diversify their product portfolio and simultaneously offer seemingly incompatible services – ecosystem services and low-cost commodity products - to support an environmentally sustainable bioeconomy? They can.

Technical developments anticipated from ARPA-E's Energy SMARTFARM (Systems for Monitoring and Analytics for Renewable Transportation Fuel from Agricultural Resources and Management) program will simultaneously promote greater profitability for farmers and greater environmental sustainability for our planet. We will have our cake and eat it too.

Biofuels Have The Potential To Be A Carbon-negative Source of Energy

Biofuels are, by far, the largest product in the bioeconomy. Their benefit to the broader economy and environment could be substantially improved by making them carbon negative. It seems counter intuitive to view something that is being combusted, and thereby generating CO2, as carbon negative. But accounting for the full lifecycle of emissions associated with the production and use of biofuels accommodates numerous carbon drawdown opportunities that could more than offset fossil carbon

emissions. Negative-emissions fuel – fuel that throughout its lifecycle removes and sequesters more carbon than it emits – is ARPA-E's vision for advanced biofuels.

Economic Incentives Exist to Promote Cleaner Fuels, But They Don't Extend To Feedstock Production

An obstacle to that vision is that growing biofuel feedstocks is not easy, and the profit margins are small. High-volume, low-cost biomass is key to market viability, and thus yield is essentially the only driver for on-farm optimization. However, the value of the finished biofuel is dependent on its lifecycle GHG emissions on a per-energy basis. This metric, expressed in grams of CO2 equivalent per mega joule (g CO2e/MJ), is the fuel's carbon intensity (CI). Since the accounting of the fuel's CI includes feedstock production, farmers could theoretically increase the value of their crop by implementing technologies and strategies that would decrease the CI of their crop.

In fact, established low-carbon fuel markets can confer nearly \$200 per ton of carbon reduced to the product. At this level of carbon pricing, implementing strategies to reduce farm-level CI by as few as 10 grams per mega joule of biofuel energy could provide farmers with an additional \$100 per acre. This would be a sizeable new revenue for farmers, but in order to properly account for lifecycle GHG emissions throughout the entire biomass to biofuel supply chain, robust accounting of feedstock production practices and outcomes is needed.

ARPA-E's Energy SMARTFARM Will Fill A Data and Technology Gap to Connect Feedstock Production to Biofuel Carbon Markets

Field-level CI can currently be quantified, but the cost and complexity of current technologies limits data collection on commercial farms. The development of

low-cost sensors and systems to measure farm-level CI would fundamentally change farming as it would support greater on-farm analytics, provide a basis for more efficient precision agriculture and, for the first time, it would allow farmers to consider optimization strategies beyond yield. These strategies would complement, not detract from, existing incentives for improving yield by enabling farmers to evaluate the economic and environmental impacts of their decision making in a reliable, and quantitative, manner.

Quantifying CI for biofuel feedstocks would immediately connect feedstock production to established markets, and research into reducing the CI of bioenergy feedstocks would be relevant throughout the agriculture sector. This would enable new ecosystem markets for products beyond fuels.

APRA-E is Building A New Community to Change What Is Possible or the Ag-based Bioeconomy

If successful, the Energy SMARTFARM program will establish new revenue streams for farmers, generate new datasets for stakeholders, and stimulate new commercialization pathways for other ARPA-E technologies. This program will not seek to develop technologies to reduce on-farm CI, rather it creates the possibility to quantify the value proposition of any and all new technologies and

THE NATIONAL SORGHUM PRODUCERS' PARTNERSHIP WITH THE NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE WILL FOCUS ON DATA COLLECTION ON THE GROUND. HOWEVER, WITH THE EXPLOSION OF INTEREST AND INVESTMENT IN REMOTE SENSING AND DATA ANALYTICS IN AGRICULTURE, THE FUTURE IS BRIGHT FOR SATELLITE-BASED COLLECTION OF SUSTAINABILITY INFORMATION. THE SPACE IS STILL IN NEED OF A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF SEED FUNDING, SO THE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY-ENERGY (A DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AGENCY WHICH HAS INVESTED ALMOST \$ 100 MILLION IN SORGHUM RESEARCH OVER THE LAST DECADE) HAS STEPPED UP TO PROVIDE THIS EARLY LEADERSHIP.



strategies that reduce on-farm CI. This will catalyze their rapid development and adoption to drive efficiency and emissions reductions in the agriculture sector.

APRA-E Needs to Identify and Select The Best Teams to Ground-truth Field-level Carbon Intensity

The Energy SMARTFARM program is intended to proceed in three stages. First, it will build a network of ground-truth sites at commercial feedstock production farms to generate "gold-standard" data sets for farm-level CI quantification. Second, it will fund advanced research to develop low-cost GHG and soil carbon sensor and validation systems to allow for broad adoption of CI quantification infrastructure on production farms. Third, it will administer a grand challenge in which performing teams will compete for prizes by demonstrating novel low-cost on-farm CI quantification and CI optimization decision support systems.

While the final metrics and structure for phases two and three are currently under development, the critical first step is recruiting the best teams to establish the groundtruth sites. Please review the recent funding opportunity announcement, and share it with your networks to ensure we can elicit the best set of proposals for this effort.

This article was originally published by the Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy on arpa-e.energy.gov.

Capitol Hill

WHILE AMERICA IS WATCHING THE DEBATE STAGE, WE ARE WATCHING OUT FOR YOU

By Joe Bischoff, Cornerstone Government Affairs

f you have questions about how the government's work will affect your farm in the year ahead, you are in good company. But while the politicos will wring their hands over elections all year long, business as usual will continue in much of the government complex, and we can provide some solid analysis on how that action may affect your bottom line.

The farm economy and trade aid

The financial challenges facing the agricultural community will continue to garner considerable attention in 2020. Fiscal year 2019 saw the highest number of farm bankruptcies since FY11, according to calculations by the American Farm Bureau. The number of farms filing

for Chapter 12 bankruptcy increased by 24 percent from FY18 to FY19.

The Market Facilitation Program (MFP), or trade aid, went a long way toward keeping many farms afloat with nearly \$20 billion paid out to farmers over the last two years. Already, there are murmurs about an additional round of MFP payments in 2020, even as China and the U.S. have reached a tentative truce in the trade war.

China Phase I offers some tariff relief

Meanwhile, December saw an encouraging de-escalation of tensions between China and the U.S. after months of a punishing trade war. All sides admit the latest deal

is just the first step in a larger negotiation, but sorghum producers will welcome the tariff relief after months of market loss.

Before the U.S.-China trade dispute began, China was requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS). a \$1 billion market for U.S. sorghum. We are optimistic This prompted backlash from biofuel producers, so the Trump Administration responded by proposing a that 2020 may be marked by a return to these levels and greater. The sorghum industry has continued its strong supplemental rule in October that would increase blendadvocacy in markets around the world, even as the trade ing requirements for larger refineries. Biofuel producers wars waged on, and we trust that those strategic efforts argue the supplemental rule does not go far enough since will pay off now that U.S. sorghum growers have regained it bases blending requirements on the estimated amount access to this critical market. exempted rather than the actual amount.

NAFTA 2.0 breakthrough

December was a big month for trade negotiations in the U.S., as Congress broke months of deadlock and passed the U.S.-Mexico-Canada (USMCA) trade agreement, or NAFTA 2.0, with bipartisan support. USMCA makes a number of changes to North American agricultural trade, including increasing U.S. dairy exports to Canada. After passing the House in December, we anticipate the final passage of USMCA in the Senate yet this winter.

Watch for Senate action in 2020

The Farm Workforce Modernization Act of 2019 is intended to bring some labor certainty to the agricultural workforce. It creates a program for agricultural workers to gain legal status over time and aims to reform the H-2A visa program by making it more flexible for employers and by providing opportunities to use the program in agricultural sectors that require year-round employment. The bill passed with broad bi-partisan support in the House in December but the prognosis in the Senate, particularly in a presidential election year, is unclear.

USDA reorganization tensions may flare again

In June 2019, UDSA announced Economic Research Service (ERS) and National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA) would relocate to Kansas City. This highly controversial move was especially reviled by career staff in Washington, D.C., who had to decide whether to move with the agencies or change jobs. While the books on this move are almost closed, we anticipate some animosity will continue.

There has been some talk in the Administration of combining the Animal & Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) headquarters with the Agricultural Research Service (ARS) headquarters. While the agencies would remain in the Washington, D.C., area, the concept of shrinking their respective footprints may cause some on the Hill to recoil and use this consolidation as a proxy for their lost battle over ERS and NIFA relocations. Expect fireworks.

Renewable Fuel Standard disputes

In August, the EPA approved 31 waivers for small oil refineries, exempting them from biofuel blending

Finding it difficult to make everyone happy, this month EPA decided to withdraw the proposed rule and go back to the drawing board. National Sorghum Producers and its ethanol partners continue to watch this issue closely.

Waters of the United States gets an overhaul

In October, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) finalized its repeal of the 2015 Clean Water Rule, which changed the definition of "waters of the United States" (WOTUS) to include some isolated waterways, causing huge headaches for farmers in the normal course of business. A coalition of environmental groups is now suing the EPA, and the case could determine the fate of the 2015 rule. While the debate will rage on in the courts, at least for now, we should see a proposed new definition of WOTUS from EPA before spring 2020. For you, this means more flexibility and less legal exposure as you steward your land and waterways in the best interests of your farm and future.

Critical new breeding technology rule

Access to new breeding innovations through biotechnology has been an emphasis area for sorghum producers in recent years. Being able to quickly develop new sorghum varieties that require fewer inputs would be immensely attractive to the sorghum industry.

Multiple administrations have tried and failed to update the 30-year-old biotechnology regulations at USDA, but it appears that Trump's USDA under Secretary Purdue is mere months away from finalizing a rule that will make new breeding technologies, like CRISPR and other gene editing techniques, available to sorghum breeders. The new rules would provide fewer regulatory hurdles and rightly avoid the requirements associated with breeding transgenic, or GMO crops.

We know that the work we do in D.C. has long ranging impacts for your farm, but from the outside the Beltway it can be hard to measure those effects. While the country is focused on the debate stage over the coming months, we will stay focused on how everyday policy work in D.C. impacts your farm.

2019 NSP YIELD CONTEST

Sorghum farmers rallied through some of the most strenuous conditions to complete a harvest during the 2019 season, and National Sorghum Producers is very pleased with the overall crop. Yield achievements in sorghum are reflected not only through the national average trend yield but also through this year's contest yields, and we congratulate all of our winners. We will celebrate and honor the winners at our annual NSP Yield Contest Gala on Friday, Feb. 28, 2020, in conjunction with Commodity Classic in San Antonio, Texas. NSP will also honor a new inductee into the sorghum yield contest Hall of Fame - Winter Johnston of Pennsylvania. Congratulations winners, and we wish you success in 2020!

NATIONAL WINNERS

NOTE: National winners selected from state first place winners

<u> Dryland - No till east</u>

206.80 bu/ac CHRIS SANTINI Warren County, NJ Pioneer 84G62

DRYLAND - NO TILL

194.99 bu/ac

KI GAMBLE Kiowa County, KS

Pioneer 85P44

2 204.70 bu/ac ELLA JOHNSTON Fulton County, PA

2187.50 bu/ac

Johnson County, NE

2 209.06 bu/ac

GAGE PORTER

Mercer County, MO

Pioneer 84G62

LYLE FISHER

Pioneer 84P72

Pioneer 84G62

3 179.05 bu/ac GALT PORTER Mercer County, MO Pioneer 84G62

3 137.21 bu/ac LIVINGSTON FARMS LLC Kit Carson County, CO Pioneer 87P06

3 201.32 bu/ac HARRY JOHNSTON Fulter County, PA Pioneer 84G62

3 140.13 bu/ac DODSON FAMILY FARMS Nueces County, TX Pioneer 83P27

| IRRIGATED - NO TILL EAST | |
|---|---------|
| 1206.18 bu/ac RIVER HOLLOW FARMS Warren County, NJ Pioneer 84G62 |) hi |
| IRRIGATED - NO TILL WEST | |
| 1 160.25 bu/ac NATHAN MILLER Custer County, OK DEKALB DKS33-07 | A rt |
| IRRIGATED - TILLAGE EAST | |
| 1199.66 bu/ac SANDUFF FARMS Warren County, NJ Pioneer 84G62 Pice |) J |
| IRRIGATED - TILLAGE WES | |
| 1 204.54 bu/ac KIMBERLY GAMBLE Kiowa County, KS Pioneer 84G62 2 2 M Ca Pio | n Dr |
| FOOD GRADE | |
| 1 107.76 bu/ac MATTHEW J. BLOSS Pawnee County, NE Alta Seeds AG 1401 | |
| STATE WINNERS | |
| STATE COUNTY NAME | |

| DRYLAND-NO TILL EAST | | | | | |
|----------------------|------|----------------------|--------|---------------|--|
| Delaware 1st | Kent | Vogl Brothers Prt. | 145.32 | Pioneer 84G62 | |
| Delaware 2nd | Kent | A. Downes Warren Jr. | 122.06 | Pioneer 84G62 | |
| Delaware 3rd | Kent | Frank G. Hrupsa | 99.05 | Pioneer 84G62 | |

YIELD

SORGHUM Grower Winter 2020

DRYLAND - TILLAGE EAS

212.57 bu/ac SANTINO SANTINI Warren County, NJ Pioneer 84G62

DRYLAND - TILLAGE WEST

172.04 bu/ac **RONALD GLISSENDORF** Aurora County, SD DEKALB DKS29-28 2 156.76 bu/ac NICHOLAS SCHOENTHAL Moniteau County, MO Pioneer 84G62 **81.41** bu/ac HN SCATES ite County, IL neer 84G62 3 138.93 bu/ac FRANK G. HRUPSA Kent County, DE Pioneer 84G62

56.11 bu/ac UNT FARMS ton County, KS neer 84G62 **148.09** bu/ac LYNN BORN Lipscomb County, TX Pioneer 84P68

78.59 bu/ac M KRULL loseph County, MI neer 87P06

03.08 bu/ac CHAEL BALL hyon County, ID neer 85Y40 **173.63** bu/ac JEFF SCATES White County, IL Pioneer 84G62

198.90 bu/ac CHAD DANE Clay County, NE Pioneer 84P72

SEED VARIETY

| STATE | COUNTY | NAME | YIELD | SEED VARIETY |
|--------------------|------------|------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|
| Florida 1st | Hamilton | Sam Jones & Mark Randell | 91.64 | Pioneer 84P80 |
| Georgia 1st | Oglethorpe | Russ Moon | 95.16 | Dyna-Gro Seed M74GB17 |
| Illinois 1st | Bond | Jim Stoecklin | 147.29 | Pioneer 85G03 |
| Illinois 2nd | Gallatin | Mike Scates | 142.60 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| Indiana 1st | Lake | Bob Little | 167.26 | Pioneer 85G03 |
| Indiana 2nd | Gibson | Phil Scott | 127.25 | Pioneer 87P06 |
| lowa 1st | Mahaska | S & A Farms Inc. | 148.03 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| Kentucky 1st | Webster | Pat Thompson | 135.46 | Pioneer 84P80 |
| Kentucky 2nd | Webster | Joe Thompson | 116.02 | Pioneer 84P80 |
| Maryland 1st | Montgomery | William F. Willard Farms LLC | 135.69 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| Michigan 1st | Van Buren | Ryan Drozd | 103.31 | Pioneer 88P68 |
| Missouri 1st | Mercer | Galt Porter | 179.05 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| New Jersey 1st | Warren | Chris Santini | 206.80 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| New Jersey 2nd | Warren | New Village Farms | 184.13 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| New York 1st | Oneida | Bob Pawlowski | 95.04 | Channel 6B02 |
| North Carolina 1st | Davidson | Billy H. Bowers Farm Trust | 177.45 | Pioneer 84P80 |
| Pennsylvania 1st | Fulton | Ella Johnston | 204.70 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| Pennsylvania 2nd | Fulton | Winter Johnston | 183.93 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| Pennsylvania 3rd | Lancaster | Peter Hoffines | 161.62 | Pioneer 85Y40 |
| Virginia 1st | Rockingham | Kevin K. Craun | 159.95 | Pioneer 86P90 |
| Virginia 2nd | Hanover | John N. Mills III | 94.83 | Pioneer 83P17 |
| DRYLAND-NO TIL | L WEST | | | |
| Colorado 1st | Kit Carson | Livingston Farms LLC | 137.21 | Pioneer 87P06 |
| Colorado 2nd | Phillins | Bamford Farms | 128/0 | Pioneer 87P06 |

| | | 5 | | |
|------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------|------------------|
| Colorado 2nd | Phillips | Bamford Farms | 128.49 | Pioneer 87P06 |
| Colorado 3rd | Kit Carson | Tim Stahlecker | 108.54 | DEKALB DKS 28-05 |
| Kansas 1st | Kiowa | Ki Gamble | 194.99 | Pioneer 85P44 |
| Kansas 2nd | Nemaha | Stephen Aberle | 152.60 | Pioneer 84P80 |
| Kansas 3rd | Gove | Kirk Zerr | 149.34 | DEKALB DKS 45-23 |
| Nebraska 1st | Johnson | Lyle Fisher | 187.50 | Pioneer 84P72 |
| Nebraska 2nd | Harlan | Duane Vorderstrasse | 184.66 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| Nebraska 3rd | Furnas | James Gustafson | 160.32 | Pioneer 85Y40 |
| Oklahoma 1st | Beaver | Bob Dietrick | 87.84 | Pioneer 85Y34 |
| South Dakota 1st | Charles Mix | Dylan Knoll | 135.32 | Pioneer 88Y47 |
| Texas 1st | Ochiltree | Kevin Pshigoda | 128.44 | Pioneer 86P20 |
| Texas 2nd | San Patricio | Rieder Farms | 118.14 | DEKALB DKS53-53 |
| Texas 3rd | Ochiltree | Tregellas Family Farms | 114.18 | Pioneer 85Y34 |
| | | | | |

DRYLAND-TILLAGE EAST

| Delaware 1st | Kent | A. Downes Warren Jr. | 116.47 | Pioneer 84G62 |
|--------------|----------|--|--------|---------------|
| Florida 1st | Hamilton | Jimmy Murphy, Rusty Mcleod, Mark Randell | 83.87 | Pioneer 84P80 |
| Indiana 1st | Lake | Kathy Little | 186.63 | Pioneer 85G03 |
| Indiana 2nd | Gibson | Will Scott | 120.93 | Pioneer 87P06 |
| lowa 1st | Wayne | Grey Porter | 137.45 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| Michigan 1st | Allegan | Jake Drozd | 155.92 | Pioneer 86G32 |
| Missouri 1st | Mercer | Gage Porter | 209.06 | Pioneer 84G62 |

| TATE | COUNTY | NAME | YIELD | SEED VARIETY |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------|------------------|
| lissouri 2nd | Cooper | Brumback Farms Inc. | 161.66 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| lissouri 3rd | Livingston | David Hughes - Hughes Farms | 130.93 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| ew Jersey 1st | Warren | Santino Santini | 212.57 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| ew Jersey 2nd | Warren | Promise Land Farms | 185.16 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| ew York 1st | Oneida | Bob Pawlowski | 94.30 | Channel 6B60 |
| orth Carolina 1st | Perquimans | Laurence Chappell | 153.89 | Pioneer 84P80 |
| orth Carolina 2nd | Davidson | Billy H. Bowers Farm Trust | 151.36 | Pioneer 84P80 |
| orth Carolina 3rd | Perquimans | Wallace N. Ownley | 145.20 | Pioneer 83P17 |
| ennsylvania 1st | Fulton | Harry Johnston | 201.32 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| ennsylvania 2nd | Lancaster | Twin Lane Farm LLC | 176.96 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| irginia 1st | Rockingham | Kevin K. Craun | 153.76 | Pioneer 84P80 |
| irginia 2nd | Hanover | John N. Mills, Jr. | 90.64 | Pioneer 83P17 |
| RYLAND-TILLAG | E WEST | | | |
| olorado 1st | Васа | Smith Bros. | 102.38 | Pioneer 85Y34 |
| ansas 1st | Comanche | Darrol Miller Farms Inc. | 107.34 | DEKALB DKS 37-07 |
| ansas 2nd | Morton | Smith Bros. | 100.30 | Pioneer 85Y34 |
| lissouri 1st | Moniteau | Nicholas Schoenthal | 156.76 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| ebraska 1st | Pawnee | Matthew J. Bloss | 115.91 | Pioneer 84P72 |
| klahoma 1st | Texas | Roger & Marilyn Fischer | 95.14 | Pioneer 85Y34 |
| outh Dakota 1st | Aurora | Ronald Glissendorf | 172.04 | DEKALB DKS29-28 |
| outh Dakota 2nd | Charles Mix | David Knoll | 170.19 | Pioneer 88Y41 |
| outh Dakota 3rd | Charles Mix | R C Farms | 155.11 | DEKALB DKS 28-05 |
| exas 1st | Nueces | Dodson Family Farms | 140.13 | Pioneer 83P27 |
| exas 2nd | Tom Green | Bernie Fuchs | 139.96 | Pioneer 84P68 |
| exas 3rd | Nueces | Legacy Farms | 134.45 | Pioneer 83P73 |
| R <mark>rigated-no</mark> tii | LL EAST | | | |
| elaware 1st | Kent | Frank G. Hrupsa | 138.93 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| orida 1st | Suwannee | Jack Flowers & Rusty Mcleod | 110.15 | Pioneer 84P80 |
| linois 1st | White | John Scates | 181.41 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| ew Jersey 1st | Warren | River Hollow Farms | 206.18 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| ew Jersey 2nd | Warren | Jeffrey Barlieb | 198.67 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| ennsylvania 1st | Lancaster | Mast Farms | 122.41 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| R <mark>rigated-no t</mark> ii | LL WEST | | | |
| ansas 1st | Barton | Gaunt Farms | 156.11 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| ansas 2nd | Republic | Darin Saunders | 129.32 | Pioneer 84P68 |
| klahoma 1st | Custer | Nathan Miller | 160.25 | DEKALB DKS33-07 |
| klahoma 2nd | Cimarron | Brandon Rattray | 134.49 | Pioneer 86P20 |
| exas 1st | Lipscomb | Lynn Born | 148.09 | Pioneer 84P68 |
| exas 2nd | San Patricio | Rieder Farms | 128.42 | DEKALB DKS53-53 |
| exas 3rd | Sherman | David Meyer | 112.22 | Pioneer 85Y34 |
| RRIGATED-TILLA | GE EAST | | | |
| orida 1st | Suwannee | Jack Flowers & Rusty Mcleod | 114.08 | Pioneer 84P80 |

| | COUNTY | NAME | YIELD | SEED VARIETY |
|--------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------|------------------|
| ıri 2nd | Cooper | Brumback Farms Inc. | 161.66 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| ıri 3rd | Livingston | David Hughes - Hughes Farms | 130.93 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| ersey 1st | Warren | Santino Santini | 212.57 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| ersey 2nd | Warren | Promise Land Farms | 185.16 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| ork 1st | Oneida | Bob Pawlowski | 94.30 | Channel 6B60 |
| Carolina 1st | Perquimans | Laurence Chappell | 153.89 | Pioneer 84P80 |
| Carolina 2nd | Davidson | Billy H. Bowers Farm Trust | 151.36 | Pioneer 84P80 |
| Carolina 3rd | Perquimans | Wallace N. Ownley | 145.20 | Pioneer 83P17 |
| /lvania 1st | Fulton | Harry Johnston | 201.32 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| /lvania 2nd | Lancaster | Twin Lane Farm LLC | 176.96 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| a 1st | Rockingham | Kevin K. Craun | 153.76 | Pioneer 84P80 |
| a 2nd | Hanover | John N. Mills, Jr. | 90.64 | Pioneer 83P17 |
| AND-TILLAG | E WEST | | | |
| do 1st | Васа | Smith Bros. | 102.38 | Pioneer 85Y34 |
| 1st | Comanche | Darrol Miller Farms Inc. | 107.34 | DEKALB DKS 37-07 |
| 2nd | Morton | Smith Bros. | 100.30 | Pioneer 85Y34 |
| ıri 1st | Moniteau | Nicholas Schoenthal | 156.76 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| ska 1st | Pawnee | Matthew J. Bloss | 115.91 | Pioneer 84P72 |
| oma 1st | Texas | Roger & Marilyn Fischer | 95.14 | Pioneer 85Y34 |
| Dakota 1st | Aurora | Ronald Glissendorf | 172.04 | DEKALB DKS29-28 |
| Dakota 2nd | Charles Mix | David Knoll | 170.19 | Pioneer 88Y41 |
| Dakota 3rd | Charles Mix | R C Farms | 155.11 | DEKALB DKS 28-05 |
| st | Nueces | Dodson Family Farms | 140.13 | Pioneer 83P27 |
| 2nd | Tom Green | Bernie Fuchs | 139.96 | Pioneer 84P68 |
| Brd | Nueces | Legacy Farms | 134.45 | Pioneer 83P73 |
| GATED-NO TIL | L EAST | | | |
| are 1st | Kent | Frank G. Hrupsa | 138.93 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| 1st | Suwannee | Jack Flowers & Rusty Mcleod | 110.15 | Pioneer 84P80 |
| 1st | White | John Scates | 181.41 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| ersey 1st | Warren | River Hollow Farms | 206.18 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| ersey 2nd | Warren | Jeffrey Barlieb | 198.67 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| Ivania 1st | Lancaster | Mast Farms | 122.41 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| GATED-NO TIL | L WEST | | | |
| 1st | Barton | Gaunt Farms | 156.11 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| 2nd | Republic | Darin Saunders | 129.32 | Pioneer 84P68 |
| oma 1st | Custer | Nathan Miller | 160.25 | DEKALB DKS33-07 |
| oma 2nd | Cimarron | Brandon Rattray | 134.49 | Pioneer 86P20 |
| st | Lipscomb | Lynn Born | 148.09 | Pioneer 84P68 |
| 2nd | San Patricio | Rieder Farms | 128.42 | DEKALB DKS53-53 |
| Brd | Sherman | David Meyer | 112.22 | Pioneer 85Y34 |
| GATED-TILLA | GE EAST | | | |
| 1 - 4 | C | | 114.00 | D: 04D00 |

| | 001111111 | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------|------------------|--|--|
| STATE | COUNTY | NAME | YIELD | SEED VARIETY | | |
| Missouri 2nd | Cooper | Brumback Farms Inc. | 161.66 | Pioneer 84G62 | | |
| Nissouri 3rd | Livingston | David Hughes - Hughes Farms | 130.93 | Pioneer 84G62 | | |
| New Jersey 1st | Warren | Santino Santini | 212.57 | Pioneer 84G62 | | |
| New Jersey 2nd | Warren | Promise Land Farms | 185.16 | Pioneer 84G62 | | |
| New York 1st | Oneida | Bob Pawlowski | 94.30 | Channel 6B60 | | |
| North Carolina 1st | Perquimans | Laurence Chappell | 153.89 | Pioneer 84P80 | | |
| North Carolina 2nd | Davidson | Billy H. Bowers Farm Trust | 151.36 | Pioneer 84P80 | | |
| North Carolina 3rd | Perquimans | Wallace N. Ownley | 145.20 | Pioneer 83P17 | | |
| Pennsylvania 1st | Fulton | Harry Johnston | 201.32 | Pioneer 84G62 | | |
| Pennsylvania 2nd | Lancaster | Twin Lane Farm LLC | 176.96 | Pioneer 84G62 | | |
| /irginia 1st | Rockingham | Kevin K. Craun | 153.76 | Pioneer 84P80 | | |
| /irginia 2nd | Hanover | John N. Mills, Jr. | 90.64 | Pioneer 83P17 | | |
| DRYLAND-TILLAG | E WEST | | | | | |
| Colorado 1st | Васа | Smith Bros. | 102.38 | Pioneer 85Y34 | | |
| Kansas 1st | Comanche | Darrol Miller Farms Inc. | 107.34 | DEKALB DKS 37-07 | | |
| Kansas 2nd | Morton | Smith Bros. | 100.30 | Pioneer 85Y34 | | |
| Missouri 1st | Moniteau | Nicholas Schoenthal | 156.76 | Pioneer 84G62 | | |
| Nebraska 1st | Pawnee | Matthew J. Bloss | 115.91 | Pioneer 84P72 | | |
| Oklahoma 1st | Texas | Roger & Marilyn Fischer | 95.14 | Pioneer 85Y34 | | |
| South Dakota 1st | Aurora | Ronald Glissendorf | 172.04 | DEKALB DKS29-28 | | |
| South Dakota 2nd | Charles Mix | David Knoll | 170.19 | Pioneer 88Y41 | | |
| South Dakota 3rd | Charles Mix | R C Farms | 155.11 | DEKALB DKS 28-05 | | |
| Texas 1st | Nueces | Dodson Family Farms | 140.13 | Pioneer 83P27 | | |
| Texas 2nd | Tom Green | Bernie Fuchs | 139.96 | Pioneer 84P68 | | |
| Texas 3rd | Nueces | Legacy Farms | 134.45 | Pioneer 83P73 | | |
| RRIGATED-NO TII | L EAST | | | | | |
| Delaware 1st | Kent | Frank G. Hrupsa | 138.93 | Pioneer 84G62 | | |
| Florida 1st | Suwannee | Jack Flowers & Rusty Mcleod | 110.15 | Pioneer 84P80 | | |
| llinois 1st | White | John Scates | 181.41 | Pioneer 84G62 | | |
| New Jersey 1st | Warren | River Hollow Farms | 206.18 | Pioneer 84G62 | | |
| New Jersey 2nd | Warren | Jeffrey Barlieb | 198.67 | Pioneer 84G62 | | |
| ^p ennsylvania 1st | Lancaster | Mast Farms | 122.41 | Pioneer 84G62 | | |
| RRIGATED-NO TILL WEST | | | | | | |
| Kansas 1st | Barton | Gaunt Farms | 156.11 | Pioneer 84G62 | | |
| Kansas 2nd | Republic | Darin Saunders | 129.32 | Pioneer 84P68 | | |
| Oklahoma 1st | Custer | Nathan Miller | 160.25 | DEKALB DKS33-07 | | |
| Oklahoma 2nd | Cimarron | Brandon Rattray | 134.49 | Pioneer 86P20 | | |
| Texas 1st | Lipscomb | Lynn Born | 148.09 | Pioneer 84P68 | | |
| Texas 2nd | San Patricio | Rieder Farms | 128.42 | DEKALB DKS53-53 | | |
| Texas 3rd | Sherman | David Meyer | 112.22 | Pioneer 85Y34 | | |
| RRIGATED-TILLA | GE EAST | | | | | |
| | | | 114.00 | D: 04D00 | | |

| STATE | COUNTY | NAME | YIELD | SEED VARIETY | |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------|------------------|--|
| Missouri 2nd | Cooper | Brumback Farms Inc. | 161.66 | Pioneer 84G62 | |
| Missouri 3rd | Livingston | David Hughes - Hughes Farms | 130.93 | Pioneer 84G62 | |
| New Jersey 1st | Warren | Santino Santini | 212.57 | Pioneer 84G62 | |
| New Jersey 2nd | Warren | Promise Land Farms | 185.16 | Pioneer 84G62 | |
| New York 1st | Oneida | Bob Pawlowski | 94.30 | Channel 6B60 | |
| North Carolina 1st | Perquimans | Laurence Chappell | 153.89 | Pioneer 84P80 | |
| North Carolina 2nd | Davidson | Billy H. Bowers Farm Trust | 151.36 | Pioneer 84P80 | |
| North Carolina 3rd | Perquimans | Wallace N. Ownley | 145.20 | Pioneer 83P17 | |
| Pennsylvania 1st | Fulton | Harry Johnston | 201.32 | Pioneer 84G62 | |
| Pennsylvania 2nd | Lancaster | Twin Lane Farm LLC | 176.96 | Pioneer 84G62 | |
| Virginia 1st | Rockingham | Kevin K. Craun | 153.76 | Pioneer 84P80 | |
| Virginia 2nd | Hanover | John N. Mills, Jr. | 90.64 | Pioneer 83P17 | |
| DRYLAND-TILLAG | E WEST | | | | |
| Colorado 1st | Васа | Smith Bros. | 102.38 | Pioneer 85Y34 | |
| Kansas 1st | Comanche | Darrol Miller Farms Inc. | 107.34 | DEKALB DKS 37-07 | |
| Kansas 2nd | Morton | Smith Bros. | 100.30 | Pioneer 85Y34 | |
| Missouri 1st | Moniteau | Nicholas Schoenthal | 156.76 | Pioneer 84G62 | |
| Nebraska 1st | Pawnee | Matthew J. Bloss | 115.91 | Pioneer 84P72 | |
| Oklahoma 1st | Texas | Roger & Marilyn Fischer | 95.14 | Pioneer 85Y34 | |
| South Dakota 1st | Aurora | Ronald Glissendorf | 172.04 | DEKALB DKS29-28 | |
| South Dakota 2nd | Charles Mix | David Knoll | 170.19 | Pioneer 88Y41 | |
| South Dakota 3rd | Charles Mix | R C Farms | 155.11 | DEKALB DKS 28-05 | |
| Texas 1st | Nueces | Dodson Family Farms | 140.13 | Pioneer 83P27 | |
| Texas 2nd | Tom Green | Bernie Fuchs | 139.96 | Pioneer 84P68 | |
| Texas 3rd | Nueces | Legacy Farms | 134.45 | Pioneer 83P73 | |
| IRRIGATED-NO TI | LL EAST | | | | |
| Delaware 1st | Kent | Frank G. Hrupsa | 138.93 | Pioneer 84G62 | |
| Florida 1st | Suwannee | Jack Flowers & Rusty Mcleod | 110.15 | Pioneer 84P80 | |
| Illinois 1st | White | John Scates | 181.41 | Pioneer 84G62 | |
| New Jersey 1st | Warren | River Hollow Farms | 206.18 | Pioneer 84G62 | |
| New Jersey 2nd | Warren | Jeffrey Barlieb | 198.67 | Pioneer 84G62 | |
| Pennsylvania 1st | Lancaster | Mast Farms | 122.41 | Pioneer 84G62 | |
| IRRIGATED-NO TILL WEST | | | | | |
| Kansas 1st | Barton | Gaunt Farms | 156.11 | Pioneer 84G62 | |
| Kansas 2nd | Republic | Darin Saunders | 129.32 | Pioneer 84P68 | |
| Oklahoma 1st | Custer | Nathan Miller | 160.25 | DEKALB DKS33-07 | |
| Oklahoma 2nd | Cimarron | Brandon Rattray | 134.49 | Pioneer 86P20 | |
| Texas 1st | Lipscomb | Lynn Born | 148.09 | Pioneer 84P68 | |
| Texas 2nd | San Patricio | Rieder Farms | 128.42 | DEKALB DKS53-53 | |
| Texas 3rd | Sherman | David Meyer | 112.22 | Pioneer 85Y34 | |
| IRRIGATED-TILLA | GE EAST | | | | |
| | ^ | | | | |

| Florida 1st | Suwannee | Jack Flowers & Rusty I |
|-------------|----------|------------------------|
|-------------|----------|------------------------|

SORGHUM Grower Winter 2020

SORGHUM Grower Winter 2020

| STATE | COUNTY | NAME | YIELD | SEED VARIETY |
|------------------|------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------------|
| Illinois 1st | White | Jeff Scates | 173.63 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| lowa 1st | Van Buren | Indian Kreek Farms | 83.93 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| Michigan 1st | St. Joseph | Tom Krull | 178.59 | Pioneer 87P06 |
| Missouri 1st | Livingston | David Hughes - Hughes Farms | 145.66 | Pioneer 85G03 |
| Missouri 2nd | Livingston | Matthew Hughes - Hughes Farms | 140.11 | Pioneer 85G03 |
| New Jersey 1st | Warren | Sanduff Farms | 199.66 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| New Jersey 2nd | Warren | Carly Barlieb | 189.66 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| Pennsylvania 1st | Lancaster | Ernest Mast | 127.79 | Pioneer 84G62 |

IRRIGATED-TILLAGE WEST

| Idaho 1st | Canyon | Michael Ball | 203.08 | Pioneer 85Y40 |
|--------------|-----------|------------------------|--------|---------------|
| Idaho 2nd | Canyon | Ball Family Farms | 178.25 | Pioneer 87P06 |
| Kansas 1st | Kiowa | Kimberly Gamble | 204.54 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| Nebraska 1st | Clay | Chad Dane | 198.90 | Pioneer 84P72 |
| Nebraska 2nd | Buffalo | Max Schubauer | 185.51 | Pioneer 84P80 |
| Nebraska 3rd | Harlan | Scott Jewett | 168.43 | Pioneer 83P27 |
| Oklahoma 1st | Cimarron | Brandon Rattray | 121.67 | Pioneer 86P20 |
| Texas 1st | Ochiltree | Kevin Pshigoda | 194.52 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| Texas 2nd | Tom Green | Matthew W. Wilde | 155.73 | Pioneer 84G62 |
| Texas 3rd | Medina | Stinson & Stinson Inc. | 145.45 | Pioneer 84P80 |





San Antonio, Texas • Marriott Rivercenter Salon I - Third Floor • 8:00 p.m.

Tickets available at SorghumGrowers.com/sorghum-pac or at Sorghum PAC booth near registration

SORGHUM *nsp political action committee*

SORGHUM Grower Winter 2020

SPONSORED BY

SORGHUM PARTNERS® A BRAND OF S&W SEED COMPANY



Herbicide Trait Technologies On the Horizon

cr years sorghum growers have expressed a need for an effective postemergence grass control option. Soon, farmers will be able to choose between three herbicide tolerant traits in grain sorghum that directly address this need. These traits have been integrated into elite sorghum germplasm through standard crop breeding techniques, and three up-and-coming technologies are expected to be commercially available for either the 2021 or 2022 growing seasons.

The three technologies are being developed by different seed companies, each using a different herbicide trait. Hybrids with ACCase, sulfonylurea or imidazolinone tolerance are now in the pipeline to aid in sorghum weed control.

Fortunately, the industry has learned from other crops on how to best use and pro-

long the life of herbicide tolerant trait technologies. The Sorghum Checkoff will work with seed and crop protection companies to ensure sufficient information and guidelines are provided on how to avoid the occurrence of weed resistance when these technologies enter the market. Although the specific set of guidelines and educational materials provided may vary slightly with each technology, many similarities will exist for best management practices.

The goal is to use these technologies responsibly to ensure their effectiveness and viability for many years. Having three herbicide technologies available for use should help minimize resistance to any one particular technology and is a very positive step for U.S. sorghum producers.

Sorghum Farmers Graduate from Leadership Sorghum Class IV

n Dec. 11, in conjunction with the Sorghum Checkoff annual winter board meeting Leadership Sorghum Class IV graduated from the 15-month program. The 14-member class participated in five different sessions, each showcasing

different aspects of the multifaceted sorghum industry.

The Sorghum Checkoff recognized each member with a certificate of completion and photobook presented by Sorghum Checkoff Chairwoman Verity Ulibarri to commemo-

WHAT'S INSIDE

Herbicide Trait Technologies On the Horizon

2

Sorghum Farmers Graduate from Leadership Sorghum Class IV

3

U.S. Sorghum Meets International Demand In Europe, Africa and Asia Through Global **Expeditions**

Sorghum Industy Events



rate their time in the Leadership Sorghum program. The 14 graduates are Auden Aranda of Keyes, Oklahoma; John Bergkamp of Garden Plains, Kansas; Jon Berning of Scott City, Kansas; Dustin Borden of Gruver, Texas; Michael Brooks from Walsh, Colorado; Matthew Davis from Manhattan, Kansas; Jaden DeVore of

Cheney, Kansas; Jace Gibbs of Dighton, Kansas; David Junker of McCook, Nebraska; Larry Kendig of Osborne, Kansas; Kevin Pshigoda of Perryton, Texas; Cole Rohr of Quinter, Kansas; Tony Watson of Healy, Kansas; and Matthew Winter of Canyon, Texas. "We would like to congratulate each graduate of



Back row, from left to right: Kevin Pshigoda, Perryton, TX; Matthew Winters, Canyon, TX; Tony Watson, Healy, KS; Michael Brooks, Walsh, CO; David Junker, McCook, NE; Jon Berning, Scott City, KS; Matthew Davis, Manhattan, KS *Front row, from left to right:* Jace Gibbs, Dighton, KS; Jaden DeVore, Cheney, KS; Cole Rohr, Quinter, KS; Larry Kendig, Osborne, KS; John Bergkamp, Garden Plain, KS; Dustin Borden, Gruver, TX; Auden Aranda, Keyes, OK

U.S. Sorghum Meets International Demand In Europe, Africa and Asia Through Global Expeditions

Spain, Portugal Expedition

Sorghum Checkoff Secretary Jim Massey participated in a six-member, week-long mission to Spain and Portugal to promote U.S. sorghum and DDGS to international markets in conjunction with the U.S. Grains Council.

The delegation consisting of a variety of industry representa-

tives and USGC staff visited various Spanish and Portuguese industry sectors to both promote U.S. sorghum and discover the demand from different markets. A culmination of market availability and biosecurity make U.S. sorghum an attractive alternative to other feedstocks and biofuels, like corn.

Leadership Sorghum Class IV," Sorghum Checkoff Executive Director Floretino Lopez said. "It is our sincere hope that each graduate leaves this program equipped with the skills and knowledge to make a meaningful difference in agriculture, the sorghum industry, on their operations and in their communities."

"We wanted to have someone on the trip to represent sorghum, talk about U.S. farmers and the difference between sourcing sorghum through the U.S. versus other countries." Massey said. "Because U.S. sorghum is non-GMO, versatile and sustainable, it

fits into several international marketplaces."

The team's primary focus was interacting with the Spanish and Portuguese feed industries. In Spain, they attended various meetings and

conferences to discuss potential exports into the Spanish market. In Portugal, the team attended the 50th annual gala dinner for the Portuguese animal feed association to network and promote U.S.

grain among the event's 200 guests. Overall, the expedition proved that European buyers are interested in U.S. sorghum eager to take advantage of market opportunities.



Sorghum Checkoff Secretary Jim Massey poses among his peers, consiting of U.S. sorghum indutry representatives and U.S. Grains Council staff members, during their Spanish and Portugese mission to explore the sorghum and DDGS industry in the Iberian Peninsula.

Japan Expedition

Sorghum Checkoff Board **Director Shayne Suppes will** be traveling to Japan Jan. 18 through Jan. 24, 2020, promoting U.S. sorghum to the emerging Japanese market. Suppes's main goal for the trip is to reconnect with Asain

East Africa Expedition

Sorghum Checkoff Board Director Adam Schindler from Reliance, South Dakota, recently

buyers he met during Export Sorghum, an exclusive, oneday educational seminar for grain buyers from around the world, while promoting American grain with particular focus on food-grade varieties. "I think the Japanese market

traveled to eastern Africa on a food aid mission with the U.S. Grains Council. The Council is

may be the hidden gem U.S. sorghum farmers have been looking for," Suppes said. "The potential for market expansion in Japan is great because they're interested in both specialty and bulk sorghum varieties."

leveraging its market development work in Tanzania to create new opportunities for U.S.

sorghum exports. The primary purpose of the trip was to identify the needs of east Africans in terms of food aid and to understand how U.S. sorghum could penetrate the market combating food insecurity.

"The market opportunities available in eastern Africa, Kenya especially, are virtually untapped," Schindler said. "U.S. sorghum has the ability to feed about 190,000 refugees in Kenya, and we see opportunity to invest in that market."

The mission demonstrates both the USCP and USGC's desire to provide U.S. sorghum to food insecure or vulnerable

countries. Schindler said he is grateful to be a sorghum farmer helping combat malnutrition through his crop. Through programs facilitated by organizations combating food insecurity, like U.S. International Development Agency and UN World Food Programme, U.S. sorghum farmers have the ability to produce grain to help feed thousands of East African citizens and Kenyan refugees. "We are producing high quality grain to feed not only our nation but the world." Schindler said.

We are producing high quality grain to feed not only our nation but the world. **ADAM SCHINDLER**

Industry-wide Collaboration

These expeditions were made possible by funding from the United States Department of Agriculture Agricultural Trade Promotion Program.

The ATP program aims to help U.S. agricultural exports develop new markets and mitigate the effects of tariffs. The U.S. Grains Council was allocated

SORGHUM INDUSTRY EVENTS

| Jan. 28-30 | International Productior Atlanta, GA |
|-------------|---|
| Feb. 26-29 | Commodity Classic San Antonio, TX |
| 1arch 23-25 | Sorghum Improvement (America Grapevine, TX |
| | sit sorghumshoskoff.com/ |

For more events, visit sorghumcheckoff.com/calendar

USCP **MISSION**

The Sorghum Checkoff commits to reveal the potential and versatility of sorghum through increased shared value.



Sorghum Checkoff Board Member Adam Schindler stands next to bag of U.S. sorghum on East African expidition.



n & Processing Expo

Conference of North

\$20.8 million dollars this year by the program and is collaborating with USCP to encourage market growth and exploration for U.S. sorghum.



CONTACT US

Jennifer Blackburn **External Affairs Director** (806) 687-8727 jennifer@sorghumcheckoff.com



@SorghumCheckoff

PAID ADVERTISEMENT

Sorgonomics[™]

COMPLEX FACTORS INFLUENCE SHIFT TO SORGHUM SILAGE



By Hannah Lipps

rowers, dairies and feed yards across the Texas Panhandle and beyond are in the midst of a dra-matic shift from corn silage for feeding rations to sorghum silage. This shift is driven by an overall economic picture that includes nutrition, genetics and equipment, but mostly increasing pressure on agricultural water supplies.

Jerry O'Rear, President of MOJO Seeds is a longtime sorghum breeder and resident of the Texas

Panhandle. While the picture is complex, he sees water as the driving force behind the shift to sorghum silages.

"None of these wells are pumping more than they used to," he said. "And a lot of these dairies are doubling in size and

using more feed. They know they can't keep pushing toward corn in a water deficit area."

Meanwhile, farmers are pushing back against end users who are accustomed to using corn silage because pressing need. they do not have the water to support the crop year after year.

Chris Urbanczyk, a farmer in Deaf Smith County in the Texas Panhandle has been gradually shifting to sorghum silages for the past decade for use in his own feed yard as well as contracting to local dairies.

"As our water supply is going south, we have to come up with more alternatives that are efficient on water," said Urbanczyk.

The switch to sorghum silage has been significant both for dairies and the farmers who sell to them, but each situation has its nuances.

"One of our dairies plants all his corners in premium Sudan grasses," said Larry Richardson, president of Richardson Seeds. "Depending on the rain, they may get two or three cuttings

and early or late rain can make multiple crops." "The question is, what can \hat{I} grow with the water I have?" said O'Rear. "A lot of people grow a half circle of corn then sorghum silage on the other half, or some

guys will put four circles on a section-one on corn and the other three on sorghum silage."

They can shift the water, then, to meet the most

Besides water, a number of other factors have also influenced the switch over the past decade.

Nutritionists have been one key-especially when they recognize the pressures on water supplies. They are more willing to explore adding sorghum to their rations.

O'Rear of MOJO Seeds is well positioned to under-

stand what he calls the "phobia of starch utilization" among some dairy and feed yard nutritionists.

"Years ago," he said, "sorghum silage was not digestible because it had too much lignin, and dairies also didn't like sorghum because they couldn't utilize the grain. That high tannin, small seed doesn't break down, and the mills couldn't process it, so the grain was coming out in the manure."

Today, his company, along with other industry innovators, has developed products that come very close to the starch content of corn, between 25 and 35 percent. The grain in his product runs about 14 percent protein, 35-40 percent higher than other grain sorghum. Once in the silage pile, MOJO products clock in at 9 or 10 percent crude protein.

Meanwhile, MOJO hybrids boast a larger berry size and consumer demand has driven equipment advances like new choppers that do a better job of breaking down the grain so the animal can utilize the entire plant.

"The equipment companies developed this new equipment with some push from the dairies," said Rich-"The varieties out there are getting better," said ardson. "The dairies and producers said, 'We are running Urbanczyk. "They're aphid resistant, have bigger berries, out of water. We need to grow sorghum, and we've got to they process better and that makes the silage better." have better equipment." "Everyone has so many tons they need to feed and The result is a fully utilizable silage product that it's just a question of how to get those tons," concluded O'Rear. "We have very smart consumers, growers and

nutritionists are pleased to feed, and farmers are happy to grow.

"We can process 85-90 percent of the grain, and

The question is, what can I grow with the water I have?

whatever you can't process is soft after a month in the silage pit," said O'Rear.

O'Rear said it is critical to get nutritionists on board with solid science.

"You have to work it from the back-end forward. You have to have a product that when dairies switch, you don't cost them any milk," O'Rear said. "Giving them something they can plant on all their acres and not just the water acres, that's an easy sell. But if you're costing milk, you're fighting a battle you won't win."

He's confident they have new products that hit that mark. While the most dramatic shifts have been seen in the Texas Panhandle, Richardson says his sales profile suggests the opportunity is broader.

States with strict water regulations, like Nebraska for example, are shifting to sorghum silage in order to meet water benchmarks and maintain quality rations.

"The number of bags [of seed] we send to California has been steadily growing for several years," Richardson said. "South Dakota is another state that's figuring out how to utilize it."

stewards of the land."

Sorghum Recipe

TUSCAN SORGHUM & SAUSAGE SOUP

WHAT YOU'LL NEED:

2 tablespoons olive oil 1 cup onion, (large) chopped 3/4 cups carrots, diced 1/2 cup celery, chopped 1 zucchini, chopped 1 bunch Swiss Chard 3 garlic cloves, minced 12 ounces Italian chicken sausage, remove casing 2 guarts low sodium chicken broth 1 (28 ounce can) diced, no salt added tomatoes 1/2 cup whole grain sorghum 1/2 teaspoon dried oregano 1/2 teaspoon dried basil 1/4-1/2 teaspoon red pepper flakes 1 bay leaf 15 ounces cannellini beans (drained) Freshly grated parmesan cheese

DIRECTIONS:

Turn the Instant Pot® onto the sauté setting. Once the pot is hot, add the olive oil, onion, carrots and celery. Sauté, stirring regularly for about 4-5 minutes. Add the zucchini, chard stems and garlic and saute until golden brown. Then add the sausage and cook until lightly browned. Then hit "Cancel" on the Instant Pot®.

Add the chicken broth, tomatoes, whole grain sorghum, thyme, oregano, basil, red pepper flakes, bay leaf and cannellini beans and stir to combine. Place the lid on the Instant Pot[®]. Make sure the steam release is set to the sealing position. Press the manual setting and use the "Adjust" button. Use the +/- to set the Instant Pot® to 25 minutes.

After you hear the timer go off, wait 10 minutes until pressure releases naturally. Then switch valve to the venting position before unlocking the lid to release any additional pressure. When removing the lid, lift it so it is a shield in front of you as the steam releases. Turn the Instant Pot® off. Remove the bay leaf and throw it away. Stir in Swiss Chard. It will wilt guickly. Stir well.

Serve immediately and garnish with Parmesan cheese if desired.





Working Together for Tomorrow's Energy Needs & Today's Sustainability Goals

here are partnerships in Kansas delivering new energy and sustainability projects that share a common the how sorghum can be a 21st Century solution to mee numerous demands. These collaborations include breaking down barriers to sorghum in ethanol, leveraging campus creativity for local sorghum products, and fostering farmer leadership to quantify soil health and water quality, reapin the rewards. Combined, these partnerships demonstrate for sorghum growers a strategic vision of working together to achieve what cannot be done individually.

With \$1.3 billion in estimated production value, increasing sorghum in ethanol will grow its worth further. As grain processors on the Great Plains, ethanol plants must utilize dive feedstock because growing seasons determine sorghum and corn availability; but, diversifying commodities require processor to account for mixed grains within a complex process. The solution? A recent partnership between USDA, th Center for Sorghum Improvement and Western Plains Ener in Oakley, Kansas, adopted high-tech infrared technology peer inside the active fermentation process.

"This new tool allows us to refine our efficiencies and pursu potential value-added revenue streams," said Derek Peine, general manager of Western Plains Energy, noting the diffi culty of blending feedstocks in the production process. "W are thankful for the Center for Sorghum Improvement for bringing together key stakeholders, including governmen officials and research technical experts, to develop a solution that provides real-time analysis of the feedstock ratio." Advancing the sorghum industry with our energy partners critical. So is sustaining the next generation of food innova

Young people today desire a narrative around their food: who grew it, how and where? This past autumn, The Kansa Department of Agriculture (KDA) received a grant of \$414,0 for FarmUs, a farm-to-campus collaborative to access consumer markets at KSU and KU. FarmUs will develop the creation of direct consumer markets for locally-grown Kan sorghum and wheat for products in college food services

More at simply Sorghum.com

Sorghum Update

Brought to you by the Kansas Grain Sorghum Commission

| gy me: •t | supported by an undergraduate FarmUs Innovate class with a product development challenge as its cornerstone. |
|---|--|
| r g or | "KDA is pleased to partner with the FarmUs project and the Kansas sorghum industry," said Kerry Wefald, Agriculture Mar- keting Director for KDA and FarmUs Project Director. "These innovating sorghum products will serve as a link between our Kansas farmers and end retailers – telling the agriculture story via engagement on campus at KSU and KU." |
| o- erse | Sharing your story as a sustainable sorghum grower to new innovators and consumers is already in motion at our two largest universities thanks to partners at KDA and academia. New tools to increase sustainability and reap the rewards are just around the corner. |
| ro- ne rgy to ie , i- /e | Across the nation, fuel market incentives for producers exist from climate models rewarding soil health and water quality improvements. At the nexus of energy and sustainability, Kansas Grain Sorghum has partnered with National Sorghum Producers to help deliver the KansCAT database initiative. KansCAT hones in on three objectives: collecting conservation data, increasing farmer conservation literacy and capturing low-carbon incentives for added value in ethanol markets. Farmers today have limited technical ability to effectively measure their sustainability practices, providing disincentives for additional conservation practices and resulting in missed opportunities for higher value consumer markets. |
| s is ators. | With modern farm data, sorghum growers can benchmark and provide documentation on practices to press their advan- tage in key markets. Partnership between NSP, Kansas Grain Sorghum, farmers and other stakeholders will help align the right incentives to improve today's sustainability, yield tomor- row's energy, and access higher value. |
| isas | Across the industry, on campus, and in our fields, sorghum represents a 21st Century solution to modern challenges. Working together in these areas and more, we can achieve for our industry what cannot be done individually. |

Kansas Grain Sorghum, PO Box 618 Colwich, KS 67030 785-477-9474, www.ksgrainsorghum.org paid advertisement



Biennial SICNA to be Held March 2020

The Sorghum Improvement Conference of North America will be held March 23-25 in Grapevine, Texas, at the Courtyard Dallas DFW North. The theme of this year's conference is "Innovation and Opportunities," and interested attendees should register at SICNA.net.

SICNA is a biennial conference designed to bring together the leading scientists throughout the sorghum industry. The conference draws a variety of attendees from all facets of U.S. sorghum. Industry representatives, crop protection specialists, collegiate institutions and USDA-ARS are examples of the types of guests the event attracts, encouraging the congregation to share research findings, express ideas and develop potential collaboration with peers. The conference strives to provide scientists and researchers an opportunity for industry interaction to help drive innovation and discovery in response to the industry's greatest challenges.

Presentations at this year's event will include new innovations in breeding, biotechnology, agronomy, technology transfer, entomology, pathology and utiliza-



topics to be discussed at the event include more specific areas of the sorghum industry ranging from high protein

waxy sorghum to stewardship programs for herbicide tolerant hybrids. To view the full agenda, visit the conference's website.

To help foster the next generation of sorghum researchers and encourage growth among existing scientists, SICNA also hosts a contest designed to allow graduate students to showcase their own findings and research. For guidelines and entry criteria, please review the "Abstract Submission" section on the SICNA website.

Commodity Classic Approaching

The 2020 annual Commodity Classic is open for event registration and housing reservations. Rooms in this year's venue city of San Antonio, Texas, are expected

to book quickly, so those interested in attending should register as soon as possible! The 26th annual farmer-focused, farmer-led convention and trade show is scheduled for February 27-29, 2020. Register and book rooms at *Commodity*-Classic.com.



National Sorghum Producers will be hosting its renowned Casino Night while in San Antonio. Throughout the night there will be a variety of raffles, auctions and casino games for guests to enjoy with the purpose of raising money to further promote the legislative interests of sorghum producers.

The annual Sorghum PAC fundraiser is a must-attend event at Commodity Classic that raised more than \$95,000 through ticket sales, sponsorships, and auction items last year. Auction items will become available for bidding in early February through our online auction. If you wish to support NSP political endeavors through this event in 2020, please contact Jamaca Battin at jamaca@ sorghumgrowers.com.

NSP will also award our 2019 yield contest winners and address issues important to sorghum producers at the trade show and convention. Watch for specific event details at SorghumGrowers.com/Commodity-Classic.#

Year in and year out, DEKALB[®] brand grain sorghum positions your for success. From advanced breeding technology and elite genetics to high sugarcane-aphid tolerance, our products help you get the most out of every acre. With DEKALB brand sorghum and Sivanto[®] Prime insecticide you'll feel comfortable no matter what the season brings.

SIVANTO orime



UNPARALLELED **PROTECTI** UNRIVALED YI



PRECISION THAT PRESERVES AND PROTECTS

- **EXCELLENT CONTROL OF APHIDS, INCLUDING SUGARCANE APHIDS**
- **QUICKLY STOPS FEEDING DAMAGE THANKS TO RAPID FEEDING CESSATION EFFECTS ON APHIDS**
- **EXCELLENT, LONG-LASTING RESIDUAL CONTROL**
- HIGH SELECTIVITY TO HELP MAINTAIN POPULATIONS OF BENEFICIAL **INSECTS AND PREDATORY MITES**

Visit DEKALB.com/FindADealer to find your local representative and dealer.



TOUGH.

Growing sorghum is tough - but you're tougher. Congratulations to the 2019 National Sorghum Producers Yield Contest winners - and to all sorghum farmers. Pioneer is proud to work alongside you in the most complex and rewarding industry on earth.

TOUGHER.

2019 NSP YIELD CONTEST RESULTS PIONEER® RANK NAME BRAND

| | | | HYBRID | (BU/A) |
|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|
| DRYLAND-NO TILL EAST | | | | |
| 1 | CHRIS SANTINI | WARREN COUNTY, NJ | 84G62 | 206.80 |
| 2 | ELLA JOHNSTON | FULTON COUNTY, PA | 84G62 | 204.70 |
| 3 | GALT PORTER | MERCER COUNTY, MO | 84G62 | 179.05 |
| DRYLAN | ID-NO TILL WEST | | | |
| 1 | KI GAMBLE | KIOWA COUNTY, KS | 85P44 | 194.99 |
| 2 | LYLE FISHER | JOHNSON COUNTY, NE | 84P72 | 187.50 |
| 3 | LIVINGSTON FARMS LLC | KIT CARSON COUNTY, CO | 87P06 | 137.21 |
| DRYLAN | ID-TILLAGE EAST | | | |
| 1 | SANTINO SANTINI | WARREN COUNTY, NJ | 84G62 | 212.57 |
| 2 | GAGE PORTER | MERCER COUNTY, MO | 84G62 | 209.06 |
| 3 | HARRY JOHNSTON | FULTON COUNTY, PA | 84G62 | 201.32 |
| DRYLAN | ID-TILLAGE WEST | | | |
| 2 | NICHOLAS SCHOENTHAL | MONITEAU COUNTY, MO | 84G62 | 156.76 |
| 3 | DODSON FAMILY FARMS | NUECES COUNTY, TX | 83P27 | 140.13 |
| IRRIGAT | TED-NO TILL EAST | | | |
| 1 | RIVER HOLLOW FARMS | WARREN COUNTY, NJ | 84G62 | 206.18 |
| 2 | JOHN SCATES | WHITE COUNTY, IL | 84G62 | 181.41 |
| 3 | FRANK G. HRUPSA | KENT COUNTY, DE | 84G62 | 138.93 |
| IRRIGAT | TED-NO TILL WEST | | | |
| 2 | GAUNT FARMS | BARTON COUNTY, KS | 84G62 | 156.11 |
| 3 | LYNN BORN | LIPSCOMB COUNTY, TX | 84P68 | 148.09 |
| IRRIGATED-TILLAGE EAST | | | | |
| 1 | SANDUFF FARMS | WARREN COUNTY, NJ | 84G62 | 199.66 |
| 2 | TOM KRULL | ST. JOSEPH COUNTY, MI | 87P06 | 178.59 |
| 3 | JEFF SCATES | WHITE COUNTY, IL | 84G62 | 173.63 |
| IRRIGATED-TILLAGE WEST | | | | |
| 1 | KIMBERLY GAMBLE | KIOWA COUNTY, KS | 84G62 | 204.54 |
| 2 | MICHAEL BALL | CANYON COUNTY, ID | 85Y40 | 203.08 |
| 3 | CHAD DANE | CLAY COUNTY, NE | 84P72 | 198.90 |



industry's strongest lineup of sorghum. pioneer.com/NSP

YIELD

Pioneer® brand products are provided subject to the terms and conditions of purchase which are part of the labeling and purchase documents. ^{TM® SM} Trademarks and service marks of Dow AgroSciences, DuPont or Pioneer, and their affiliated companies or their respective owners. © 2019 Corteva. PION9SORG063

